

Preliminary Validation of a Novel Measure of **Parent Responsiveness**

USC Chan Division of Occupational Science and Occupational Therapy

Emily Campi, Grace T. Baranek

Background

Parent Responsiveness (PR)

- Parent-infant interaction is reciprocal^{1,2}
- Parents' responses to infant behavior can shape development across domains¹⁻⁴

PR to Sensory Reactivity and Regulation (SRR)

- · Parent responses consisting of actions vs. vocalizations are associated with infant SRR^{5,6}
- · There is currently no well-validated measure of PR specifically to infant SRR cues

Purpose

To examine the validity and reliability of a novel measure of parent responsiveness (PR) to their infant's SRR cues.

Participants

Table 1. Descriptive data on demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample.

| | TD | EL-A | EL-SDH | Total |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | (n=40) | (n=40) | (n=3) | (N=83) |
| Child's age (mo) M(SD) | 13.6 (3.0) | 14.0 (1.7) | 13.3 (2.3) | 13.8 (2.4) |
| Child's sex n (% male) | 25 (62.5%) | 27 (67.5%) | 2 (66.7%) | 54 (65.1%) |
| Parent race n (%) | | | | |
| AmIn/Alaska Native | 0 (0%) | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (1.2%) |
| Asian | 3 (7.5%) | 2 (5.0%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (6.0%) |
| Black | 1 (2.5%) | 3 (7.5%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (4.8%) |
| White | 34 (85%) | 21 (52.5%) | 3 (100%) | 58 (69.9%) |
| Multiple | 1 (2.5%) | 2 (5.0%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (3.6%) |
| Unknown | 1 (2.5%) | 11 (27.5%) | 0 (0%) | 12 (14.5%) |
| Parent ethnicity <i>n</i> (% Hispanic) | 1 (2.5%) | 3 (7.5%) | 1 (33.3%) | 5 (6.0%) |
| Parent education n (%) | | | | |
| HS/GED | 1 (2.5%) | 4 (10.0%) | 1 (33.3%) | 6 (7.2%) |
| Some College | 1 (2.5%) | 6 (15.0%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (8.3%) |
| College Degree | 17 (42.5%) | 14 (35.0%) | 2 (66.7%) | 33 (39.8%) |
| Master's | 13 (32.5%) | 8 (20.0%) | 0 (0%) | 21 (25.3%) |
| Professional | 7 (17.5%) | 1 (2.5%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (9.6%) |
| SEQ Hypo | 1.5 (.3) | 2.1 (.6) | 1.6 (.5) | 1.7 (.6) |
| SEQ Hyper | 1.7 (.3) | 1.9 (.3) | 1.6 (.4) | 1.8 (.3) |
| SEQ SIRS Note: TD = typically developing: EL-A = | 2.6 (.6) | 2.5 (.5) | 2.9 (.4) | 2.5 (.6) |

suboptimal development due to social determinants of health; AmIn = American Indian; HS/GED = high school/General Educational Development; SEQ = Sensory Experiences Questionnaire, version 2.1; Hypo = sensory hyporeactivity; Hyper = sensory hyperreactivity; SIRS = sensory interests, repetitions, and seeking

Participants were drawn from two extant samples (TD and EL-A) and one new sample (EL-SDH) with varying degrees of SRR difficulties.

Methods

Measures:

PR to SRR cues: 9 dimensions based on a systematic review of PR literature and coded in response to infant approach, avoidance, and seeking behavior to yield proportional scores by dimension and a total score

Maternal Behavior Rating Scale (MBRS)7: well-validated scale yielding a single score (1-5) for parent sensitivity and responsivity

Procedures:

Mothers and infants completed a video-recorded. standardized free-play and snack interaction (5 minutes each). One coder applied the new measure to all videos, a reliability coder completed 20% of the videos (n=17), and a subset (n=30) of the videos were coded with the MBRS.

Results

Table 2 Poliability and validity of the new scale

| Table 2. Reliability and validity of the new scale. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Internal Consistency: Cronbach's α (95% Cla) | | | | |
| .84 (.78, .89) | | | | |
| .80 (.73, .86) | | | | |
| .87 (.82, .91) | | | | |
| Inter-Rater Reliability – Total Scores: ICCb (95% Cla) | | | | |
| .61 (.18, .84)83 (.57, .94) | | | | |
| .86 (.49, .97)97 (.87, .99) | | | | |
| Inter-Rater Reliability: Number of Dimensional ICCb > .70 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| Convergent Validity: Pearson's r (p) | | | | |
| .57 (.001) | | | | |
| .47 (.009) | | | | |
| | | | | |

aCI = confidence interval

Training for the coders was ongoing, so IRR was examined separately for the latter-coded videos (n=8) as a preliminary test of the role of more rigorous training in establishing reliability.

Discussion

Conclusions:

- Promising evidence for the reliability and validity of the novel tool to specifically address PR to SRR
- Development of rigorous training procedures is needed to improve inter-rater reliability
- Context for coding may need to be altered to increase opportunities for low-frequency dimensions of PR

Limitations:

- Variable sample sizes across groups limited comparisons of measure performance by group
- · Limited representation of individuals with low education and of minoritized racial/ethnic origin

Future Directions:

- Examination of intercorrelations among dimensions on the new scale
- Factor analysis to establish dimensionality of the new
- Exploration of the longitudinal effects of specific dimensions of PR on various developmental domains, including SRR

Acknowledgments

Funding sources for this study included the USC Center for the Changing Family Graduate Student Grant and the USC Chan Margaret Rood Dissertation Award. Thank you to Elizabeth Nye, who completed reliability coding. Thank you to Drs. Mary Lawlor, Linda Watson, John Sideris, and Dorian Traube, who served on the committee for the dissertation work that included this study.

References

- 1. Leezenbaum, N. B., Campbell, S. B., Butler, D., & Iverson, J. M. (2014). Maternal verbal responses to communication of infants at low and heightened risk of autism. Autism, 18(6), 694-703
- 2. Wan, M. W., Green, J., & Scott, J. (2019). A systematic review of parent-infant interaction in infants at risk of autism. Autism, 23(4), 811-820.
- 3. Jaegermann, N., & Klein, P. S. (2010). Enhancing mothers' interactions with toddlers who have sensory-processing disorders. Infant Mental Health Journal: Official Publication of The World Association for Infant Mental Health, 31(3), 291-311.
- 4. Wan, M. W., Green, J., Elsabbagh, M., Johnson, M., Charman, T., Plummer, F., & Team, B. (2013). Quality of interaction between at-risk infants and caregiver at 12-15 months is associated with 3-year autism outcome. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 54(7), 763-771.
- 5. Kinard, J. L., Sideris, J., Watson, L. R., Baranek, G. T., Crais, E. R., Wakeford, L., & Turner-Brown, L. (2017). Predictors of parent responsiveness to 1-year-olds at-risk for autism spectrum disorder. Journal of autism and developmental disorders, 47(1), 172-186.
- 6. Grzadzinski, R., Nowell, S. W., Crais, E. R., Baranek, G. T., Turner-Brown, L., & Watson, L. R. (2021). Parent responsiveness mediates the association between hyporeactivity at age 1 year and communication at age 2 years in children at elevated likelihood of ASD. Autism Research.
- 7. Mahoney, G., Powell, A., & Finger, I. (1986). The maternal behavior rating scale. Topics in Early Childhood Special Education, 6(2), 44-56.